Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/716,726	REDDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Suchfield	3672	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) George Suchfield.	(3)		
(2) C. Clark Dougherty.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 June 2004	Time: Daylight Savin	Time: <u>Daylight Savings, a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N	Applicant's representative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:	O		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112(2) Claims discussed: 1,20,39,40 and 58 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ag	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)